

SMP Dermatology and Clinical Research

Application of Free Flap Failure Predictability Index

Srinath Rajasekar¹, Ravi Kumar Chittoria², Neljo Thomas³, NishadKerakada³, K Sriharsha Reddy³, Indushekar Tarikere Chandrasekar¹, Sriram Vaidhyanathan Subramaniyan¹

¹Department of Surgery, Jawaharlal Institute of Post graduate Medical Education and Research Institute (JIPMER)

²Department of Plastic Surgery & Telemedicine Jawaharlal Institute of Post graduate Medical Education and Research (JIPMER)

³Department of plastic surgery Jawaharlal Institute of postgraduate medical education and research institute (JIPMER) Puducherry

Publication Dates

Received date: January 17, 2022

Accepted date: February 17, 2022

Published date: February 19, 2022

* Corresponding Author

Ravi Kumar Chittoria, Professor & Registrar (Academic) Head of IT Wing and Telemedicine Department of Plastic Surgery & Telemedicine Jawaharlal Institute of Post graduate Medical Education and Research (JIPMER) Puducherry India- 605006
Tel: 9442285670 Email id: drchittoria@yahoo.com

Citation

Srinath Rajasekar (2023) Application of Free Flap Failure predictability index. SMP Dermatol Clin Res 1: 1-5

Abstract

Even though the benefit of free tissue transfer is uncontested in complex reconstructive cases, vascular compromise and flap failure remain a challenge for the surgeon and identification of possible risk factors can aid in the preoperative planning (1). The aim of this study was to identify retrospectively and predict the pre-operative risk of flap failure in a patient with failed flaps. This is a 'reflection' to aid better optimization/individualisation in the future in our unit.

Keywords: free flap failure; predictability index; free tissue transfer

Introduction

Free flap transfer is a reliable method to reconstruct head and neck defects and has a success rate of about 95% but it is a complexing challenge. Free flap failure, is considered an unavoidable nightmare for both patients and surgeons. The limited choice of free flap donor site and recipient vessels makes redo-operations even more intimidating and has prompted numerous studies of ways to prevent free flap failure. An index article, by Mc lean et.al studying the various risk factors, published in 2020, was used as a reference.

The defect was characterized in the study as -a) secondary to cancer either a) primarily during resection or as a b) second stage procedure, c) trauma and infections. Each of these categories was scored 1, 2 and 3 points respectively. Comorbidities were each scored 1 point each- diabetes mellitus, hypertension, peripheral vascular disease, smoking, coronary artery disease. Predictability of flap failure is an additive of these individual scores Low risk - index 1 and 2 Moderate risk - index 3,4 and 5 High risk - index 6,7 and 8

Material and Methods

Patient is a 32-year-old male with a right sided open both bones of legs fracture type 3b. After initial resuscitation he had undergone a open reduction and external fixation. 3 months post-surgery, our reconstructive surgical team was called in to assess and plan for coverage of a well granulated defect. An anterolateral thigh free flap was used for reconstruction. However, flap failure had occurred, noticeable from day 2, as depicted in the images (Figure 1).

The predictability index was applied to this patient.

Results

The patient falls under 'trauma and soft tissue infection'- was scored three. He was found to have diabetes mellitus; no signs or symptoms of CAD, peripheral arterial disease, or hypertension. He is a Smoker with a pack year index of two. His risk index was found to be five, hence falling in the moderate risk category with a free flap failure 9.3 times higher than the low-risk group.



Figure 1: Flap appearance on Post op day 2



Figure 2: Flap appearance on Post op day 4

Discussion

Free flap failure, is a disaster for both patient and surgeon. free flap donor sites are limited, and redo-operations are often difficult and intimidating to even experienced surgeons. A Japanese national database research defined free flap failure as “the need for reoperation, recorded as free flap reconstruction or pedicle flap reconstruction under general anesthesia after the primary surgical procedure”. (2)

The advances achieved in microsurgery have given surgeons the armamentarium to deal with some of the most complex defects (3,4). Free tissue transfer has achieved widespread popularity and can be considered a safe and reliable method, yielding success rates between 92.3% and 98%. Even though the benefit of free flap reconstruction is uncontested in successful cases, vascular compromise and/or flap failure still remain a challenge for the surgeon, and identification of possible risk factors can aid in the preoperative planning and counselling of the patient (5).

After free tissue transfer, the flap is initially perfused solely through the anastomosed microvascular circulation. Endothelialisation occurs across the anastomotic line and is essentially complete by 2 weeks (6). Reperfusion of the flap also occurs from the periphery and from the wound bed through vasculogenesis and angiogenesis. Therefore, it is during the initial postoperative period that the risk of flap failure is the highest, when the flap is solely perfused by an incompletely endothelialized microvascular circulation (7).

A healthy flap that is well perfused will be pink, minimally swollen in the postoperative period and warm to the touch. Capillary refill is commonly 2 to 3 seconds in duration and is assessed by applying and releasing pressure on a skin paddle with a fingertip and observing the initial pallor from direct pressure followed by return of a pink color from reperfusion (8). Signs of a congested (venous compromised) flap include a bluish color, increased swelling and warmth, and shortened capillary refill (<2 seconds). In the case of ischemia (arterial compromised flap), the flap will be pale in color, cold, and will have a delayed capillary refill (9).

The limitation of our study is that, since this single case report study, definite conclusions cannot be made. This study can also be used as the basis for a larger prospective study to determine the efficacy of the free flap failure predictability index.

Conclusion

Predicting free flap outcomes prior to surgery can be useful in choosing patients who need more frequent monitoring and probable salvage methods. This index allows the reconstructive surgeon to stratify the risk for vascular compromise and in certain situations, it may aid in adjusting the reconstructive plan and optimisation of the patient.

Conflicts of interest: None

Disclosures: None

References

1. McLean DH, Buncke HJ Jr (1972) Autotransplant of omentum to a large scalp defect, with microsurgical revascularization. *Plast Reconstruct Surg* 49: 268-74.
2. Cannady SB, Rosenthal EL, Knott PD, Fritz M, Wax MK (2014) Free tissue transfer for head and neck reconstruction: a contemporary review. *JAMA FacPlastSurg* 16: 367-73.
3. Classen DA, Ward H (2006) Complications in a consecutive series of 250 free flap operations. *AnnPlastSurg* 56: 557-61.
4. Wettstein R, Schurch R, Banic A, Erni D, Harder Y (2008) Review of 197 consecutive free flap reconstructions in the lower extremity. *J Plast Reconstruct AesthetSurg: JPRAS* 61: 772-6.
5. Rao S, Stolle EC, Sher S, Lin CW, Momen B, Nahabedian MY (2014) A multiple logistic regression analysis of complications following microsurgical breast reconstruction. *Gland Surg* 3: 226-31.
6. Miller RB, Reece G, Kroll SS, et al. (2007) Microvascular breast reconstruction in the diabetic patient. *Plast Reconstruct Surg* 119: 38-45 discussion 46-38.
7. Serletti JM, Higgins JP, Moran S, Orlando GS (2000) Factors affecting outcome in free-tissue transfer in the elderly. *Plast Reconstruct Surg* 106: 66-70.
8. Hwang K, Lee JP, Yoo SY, Kim H (2016) Relationships of comorbidities and old age with postoperative complications of head and neck free flaps: a review. *J Plast Reconstruct AesthetSurg: JPRAS* 69: 1627-35.
9. Zhang C, Sun J, Zhu H, et al. (2015) Microsurgical free flap reconstructions of the head and neck region: Shanghai experience of 34 years and 4640 flaps. *Int J Oral MaxillofacSurg* 44: 675-84.

